

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/071,306	SETO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2625	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) WILLIAM J. KLEIN.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 January 2006

**Time:** 6:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102/103

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 9, 12, 15 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Agulnick, et al (5,347,295) & Shin, et al. (6,738,514)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims. Applicants' Attorney, namely (William Klein) has agreed to cancel independent claims 5, 9, 15, and 19.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**DANIEL MIRIAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)